Interview Summary

Application No. 09/426,792

Applicant(s)

Mangano

Examiner

Phyllis Spivack

Group Art Unit 1614



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Phyllis Spivack	(3)
(2) Rahul Pathak	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 8, 2002	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Pathak questioned the absence of recited claims in each of the 8 groups of the restriction requirement set forth in Paper No. 16. Assurance was given no claimed subject matter will be canceled.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	Myllin Spiwack

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PATENT EXAMERIN

GROUP = 1614